UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

John Does 192-217,

Plaintiffs.

Case No. 2:21-cv-2527

٧.

Judge Michael H. Watson

The Ohio State University,

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Defendant.

OPINION AND ORDER

In this case, twenty-six plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs") sue The Ohio State University ("Ohio State") based on sexual abuse they suffered at the hands of Dr. Strauss ("Strauss") while students at Ohio State. Amend. Compl., ECF No. 14. They sue Ohio State under the following theories of Title IX liability: (1) heightened risk; and (2) hostile environment. *Id.* ¶¶ 414–42. It appears Plaintiffs base each Title IX theory on the abuse by Strauss, the sexually charged environment at Larkins Hall, and Ohio State's contemporaneous response—or lack thereof—when it learned about both. *Id.* Ohio State moves to dismiss all claims. Mot. Dismiss, ECF No. 15. Plaintiffs responded, Resp. ECF No. 20, and Ohio State replied. Reply, ECF No. 23.

The Court **GRANTS** Ohio State's motion to dismiss for the reasons set forth in the Opinions and Orders issued in *Garrett* and *Ratliff*. Case Nos. 2:18-cv-692 and 2:19-cv-4746. The reasons requiring dismissal in those cases apply

equally to this case. See, e.g., Amend. Compl. ¶¶ 13, 28, 31, 43–327, 367, 369, 378, 403–413, ECF No. 14.

Plaintiffs also argue that the filing of the *Garrett* Complaint tolled their statute of limitations under *American Pipe & Constr. Co. v. Utah*, 414 U.S. 538 (1974). Resp. 15–16, ECF No. 20. Given that the Court has already dismissed the claims in *Garrett* as barred by the statute of limitations, this argument is unpersuasive.

Finally, the Court does not address the standing arguments. Whether the non-student Plaintiffs have standing to sue under Title IX is irrelevant in light of the Court's conclusion that the claims are barred by the statute of limitations.

The Court hopes that, notwithstanding the Court's ruling on the statute of limitations issue and fact that Ohio State's voluntary settlement program has closed, Ohio State will stand by its promise to "do the right thing," and continue settlement discussions with Plaintiffs.

The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to enter judgment for Ohio State and close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Michael H. Watson
MICHAEL H. WATSON, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT